

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/670,154	09/26/2000	Gengying Gao	75292/06037	4842	
7:	590 03/26/2003				
JURGEN K. VOLLRATH 588 Sutter Street #531			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, TRUNG Q		
San Francisco,	San Francisco, CA 94102			***	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829	2829	
		DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/670,154	GAO ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Trung Q Nguyen	2829			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addr ss					
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>					
<u> </u>					
<ul><li>(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li><li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li></ul>					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the					
issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	*			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:		, . ·			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.			
P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	SUPER	KAMAND CUNEO RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
•	TEC	CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new proposed claim language: "I/O cell having good ESD performance" would require further consideration.